

Arboricultural Report

Tree Survey,
Arboricultural Impact Assessment &
Arboricultural Method Statement

In relation to the development proposal at:

**No. 2 Firhouse Road and the former 'Morton's The Firhouse Inn'
Firhouse Road
Dublin 24**

November 2025

200930-PD-31

CHARLES MCCORKELL
ARBORICULTURAL CONSULTANCY

Contents

Section 1: Arboricultural Impact Assessment	3
1 Summary	3
2 Introduction	4
3 Observations & Context	8
4 Local Planning Policy	10
5 Technical Information	11
6 Analysis of the Proposal in Respect of Trees	12
7 Discussion & Conclusion	14
Section 2: Arboricultural Method Statement	15
Appendices	17
Appendix A – Tree Schedule	17
Appendix B – Plans	18

Section 1: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

1 Summary

- 1.1 This arboricultural report has been instructed by Bluemont Developments (Firhouse) Limited (the 'Applicant'), to provide information to assist all parties involved in the planning process to make balanced judgements with regard to the arboricultural features in relation to the proposed development at No. 2 Firhouse Road and the former 'Morton's The Firhouse Inn', Firhouse Road, Dublin 24 (the 'Application Site').
- 1.2 This report includes:
 - an assessment of the trees, their quality and value in accordance with BS 5837:2012 - Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction;
 - the site context and observations on the trees;
 - local planning policies relevant to the consideration of trees on the site;
 - the impact of the proposed development upon the tree population in and around the site;
 - methods of reducing impacts on trees; and
 - measures to be taken to protect trees during the proposed works.
- 1.3 The proposed development does not require the removal of any trees.
- 1.4 The proposal includes new high-quality tree planting as part of the landscape design. This new tree planting can have a positive impact on the canopy cover of the local area and the visual appearance of the development.
- 1.5 Tree impacts have been assessed and tree protection measures have been specified in accordance with best practice and are sufficient to safeguard neighbouring trees during the proposed works.
- 1.6 In conclusion, the proposed development is achievable in both arboricultural terms and in relation to local planning policy as it relates to trees.

2 Introduction

Instructions

2.1 This arboricultural report has been instructed by Bluemont Developments (Firhouse) Limited, to provide information to assist all parties involved in the planning process to make balanced judgements with regard to the arboricultural features in relation to the proposed development at No. 2 Firhouse Road and the former 'Morton's The Firhouse Inn', Firhouse Road, Dublin 24.

Development proposal

2.2 The proposed development seeks amendments to the previously approved Large-scale Residential Development (LRD), granted under Reg. Ref. LRD24A/0001 / ABP Ref. 319568-24. The proposed amendments include a reduction in the footprint of the basement levels, amendments to the housing mix and elevations of Block A and Block B, amended roof profile, provision of surface level parking, and relocation of substation.

2.3 The revised application is seeking permission for a total of 83 no. housing units (100 no. units applied for and 78 no. units granted by An Bord Pleanála), providing an increase of 5 no. units within the building footprint granted within Reg. Ref. LRD24A/0001 / ABP Ref. 319568-24. The proposal provides for 2 no. blocks ranging in height from 3- 4-storeys over basement levels comprising; 4 no. duplex units (2 no. 1-bedroom units, 1 no. 2-bedroom 3-person unit, and 1 no. 2-bedroom 4-person unit); and 79 no. apartment units (1 no. studio units, 54 no. 1-bedroom units, 5 no. 2-bedroom 3-person units, and 19 no. 2-bedroom 4-person units. The apartment blocks will consist of the following:

- Block 01: Amendments to the previously permitted 3-storey rising to 4-storey over basement levels, comprising 54 units (2 no. studio units, 15 no. 1-bedroom units, 4 no. 2-bedroom 3-person units, 13 no. 2-bedroom 4-person units, along with 4 no. duplex units comprising 2 no. 1-bedroom units, and 2 no. 2-bedroom 3-person units), to now provide for a 3-storey rising to 4-storey over basement levels comprising of 38 no. units as follows: 1 no. studio unit, 16 no. 1-bedroom units, 4 no. 2-bedroom 3-person units, 13 no. 2-bedroom 4-person units, along with 4 no. duplex units comprising 2 no. 1-bedroom units, and 1 no. 2-bedroom 3-person unit and 1 no. 2-bedroom 4-person unit. Each unit will have its own private open space in the form of a private balcony or terraced area.

- Block 02: Amendments to the previously permitted 4-storey over basement levels comprising 40 units (18 no. 1-bedroom units, 2 no. 2-bedroom 3-person units, 17 no. 2-bedroom 4-person units, and 2 no. 3-bedroom units), to now provide a 4-storey over basement levels comprising of 45 no. units as follows: 38 no. 1-bedroom units, 1 no. 2-bedroom 3-person units, and 6 no. 2-bedroom 4-person units. Each unit will have its own private open space in the form of a private balcony or terraced area.

2.4 The development will also provide for amendments to the permitted 395.2 sq. m. of commercial space (including 1 no. office and 1 no. café located on the ground floor of Block 01, 1 no. creche and associated play area to the rear of Block 01, 1 no. barber between Block 01 and Block 02 and 1 no. bookmaker and medical consultancy, located on the ground floor of Block 02) to now provide for 423.5 sq. m. of commercial space as follows:

- 1 no. office and 1 no. café located on the ground floor of Block 01.
- 1 no. creche and associated play area to the rear of Block 01.
- 1 no. barber between Block 01 and Block 02.
- 1 no. bookmaker and medical consultancy, located on the ground floor of Block 02.

2.5 The proposed development will also provide for 63 no. car parking spaces including accessible parking and Electric Vehicle parking across basement, lower ground floor levels, and surface car parking, 184 no. bicycle parking spaces; 5 no. motorbike parking spaces; landscaping, including communal open space and public open space and children's play spaces; SuDS measures; boundary treatment; public lighting; re-located ESB substation; plant and waste storage areas; associated signage details; all associated site and infrastructure works necessary to facilitate the development, with 1 no. pedestrian and cyclist access from Firhouse Road and 1 no. pedestrian and cyclist access from Mount Carmel Park, as granted under Reg. Ref. LRD24A/0001 / ABP Ref. 319568-24.

Qualification and experience

2.6 This report has been prepared by Charles McCorkell. Charles is a Chartered Arboricultural Consultant dealing with trees in relation to all forms of human activity, including the built environment. He is a Professional Member of the Institute of Chartered Foresters, a Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association, a

qualified professional tree inspector (LANTRA), and has a BSc Honours Degree in Arboriculture from the University of Central Lancashire.

Scope and limitations

- 2.7 The survey is not a health and safety inspection of trees; however, trees identified as imminently dangerous will have been highlighted and recommendations made, where appropriate.
- 2.8 The contents of this report are the copyright of *Charles McCorkell Arboricultural Consultancy* and may not be distributed or copied without the author's permission.

Methodology and guidance

- 2.9 The author has referred to *British Standard 5837: Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction (2012)* which provides a methodology for the assessment of trees and other significant vegetation on development sites.
- 2.10 BS 5837:2012 is intended to assist decision making with regard to existing and proposed trees and sets out the principles and procedures to be applied in order to achieve a harmonious relationship between existing and new trees and structures that can be sustained for the long term.
- 2.11 The BS 5837:2012 recommends the National Joint Utilities Group (NJUG) document *Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility apparatus in the proximity to trees*. Volume 4, issue 2. London: NJUG, 2007, as a normative reference for guidance on the installation of utilities within proximity to trees.

Supporting information

- 2.12 This report should be read in conjunction with the following supporting documents attached to this report.

Document	Reference	Location
Arboricultural Method Statement	-	Section 2
Tree Schedule	200930-PD-30	Appendix A
Tree Work Schedule	200930-PD-32	Appendix A
Tree Survey & Constraints Plan	200930-P-30	Appendix B
Tree Works & Protection Plan	200930-P-31	Appendix B

Definitions

- 2.13 **Root Protection Area (RPA)** – a layout design tool indicating the area surrounding a tree that contains sufficient rooting volume to ensure the survival of the tree.
- 2.14 **Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)** – an area based on the RPA in m^2 identified by an arboriculturist, to be protected during development, including demolition and construction work, by the use of barriers and/or ground protection fit for purpose to ensure the successful long-term retention of a tree.

3 Observations & Context


Site visit

3.1 The site was visited by Charles McCorkell on 29 July 2025. The purpose of the visit was to survey trees and vegetation which may be of significance to the proposed development. The survey was carried out in accordance with BS 5837:2012 and from ground level only.

Site location and description

3.2 The Application Site is located on the north-western corner of Firhouse Road and Mount Carmel Park (Map 1). It is a brownfield site that contains a two-storey building with associated car parking in the southwestern corner.

3.3 There are no trees located within the Application Site. Adjacent to the western boundary of the site, there is a group of mature trees. These include beech and sycamore.



Map 1 (Google Maps 2025): Dashed yellow line showing the location of the proposed development within the local area.

Views of the site and trees



Image 1: View of the neighbouring trees T19 to T25 located adjacent to the western boundary of the site and behind the existing building.



Image 2: View of the neighbouring sycamore trees T26 and T27.

4 Local Planning Policy

South Dublin County Development Plan 2022-2028

4.1 The County Development Plan 2022-2028 contains the following policies that relate to trees and are to be considered:

NCBH11 Objective 3

To protect and retain existing trees, hedgerows, and woodlands which are of amenity and/or biodiversity and/or carbon sequestration value and/or contribute to landscape character and ensure that proper provision is made for their protection and management taking into account Living with Trees: South Dublin County Council's Tree Management Policy (2015-2020) or any superseding document and to ensure that where retention is not possible that a high-value biodiversity provision is secured as part of the phasing of any development to protect the amenity of the area.

Tree Management Policy 2015-2020

4.2 The South Dublin County Council Tree Management Policy 'Living with Trees' 2015-2020 contains information within Chapter 7 Trees and Development that relates to the retention, protection and planting of trees on development sites. Relevant points within this section include:

- The Council will use its powers to ensure that where it is conducive with the objectives of the County Development Plan, and other planning objectives there is maximum retention of trees on new development sites.
- In the processing of planning applications, the Council will seek the retention of trees of high amenity / environmental value taking consideration of both their individual merit and their interaction as part of a group or broader landscape feature.
- On construction sites all work must be in accordance with British Standard 5837 (2012): Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations.

4.3 The Council will promote the replacement of trees removed to facilitate approved planning and development of urban spaces, buildings, streets, roads, infrastructural projects and private development sites.

5 Technical Information

Tree data

5.1 The Tree Survey Plan at Appendix B illustrates the location of trees, the extent of the spread of their crowns and their root protection areas. Dimensions, comments and information for each tree are given in the Tree Schedule at Appendix A.

Life stage analysis




Figure 1: Life stage analysis of the 9 survey entries recorded.

BS5837 (2012) category breakdown




Figure 2: Breakdown of BS5837:2012 categories of the 9 survey entries recorded.

6 Analysis of the Proposal in Respect of Trees

Arboricultural Impacts

- 6.1 ***Loss of trees*** – The proposed development does not require the removal of trees.
- 6.2 ***Pruning works to facilitate the development*** – The lower overhanging lateral branches of neighbouring sycamore trees T26 and T27 are required to be reduced back to the boundary line to provide clearance for construction works to be carried out. Details of the proposed pruning works are specified within the Tree Work Schedule at Appendix A, and the extent to be pruned is shown on the Tree Works & Protection Plan at Appendix B.
- 6.3 Overhanging lateral branches have been reduced back to the boundary in the past. Taking the past management works into consideration, along with the minor extent of pruning works that are required, these works will not have an adverse impact on the health or visual appearance of the trees.
- 6.4 ***Future growth of neighbouring trees*** – Future pruning works will be required to maintain sufficient clearance between tree canopies and the proposed building and balconies. Such practices have been carried out in the past on this site and are common within urban areas. The works can be undertaken periodically without having a detrimental impact on the health of the trees concerned.
- 6.5 Unless approval is provided by the tree owner, all working operations to prune the neighbouring trees in the future must be carried out from within the Application Site. The arboricultural contractor can undertake these pruning works using telescopic pruning tools from either extended ladders or scaffolding, if there is insufficient space for a small mobile elevated work platform to operate from. No pruning works are permitted or required to extend beyond the site boundary line.
- 6.6 ***Future management of neighbouring trees*** – The owner of these trees is legally required to inspect and manage them going forward. If a tree does not look healthy during any of the periodic pruning work that is required, the management company should inform the tree owner.
- 6.7 ***Site access*** – The existing site access can be used to facilitate the development without impacting the retained trees.
- 6.8 ***Compound area*** – The proposed site compound area has not yet been designed; however, there is sufficient space within the site to avoid impacting the retained trees.

6.9 **Demolition operations** - The proposed demolition works can be carried out without impacting the retained trees. The demolition works required for the existing two-storey building must be undertaken from within the Application Site only; therefore, all demolition works will be carried out using the 'top-down, pull-back' method of works. This will ensure that all loose material is pulled away from the neighbouring tree canopies.

6.10 **Construction operations** – The proposal will require excavation works to construct the proposed basement and buildings. These excavation works have been assessed and are highly unlikely to impact the health and condition of the neighbouring trees.

6.11 The theoretical Root Protection Areas of these trees have been modified to reflect a more realistic distribution of root growth. This is due to the existing level difference between the two sites, the presence of the large boundary wall, and the existing impermeable hard standing that is situated within the Application Site. These features will impact root ingress into the site, and it is considered more likely that the majority of root growth is within the site where the trees are located, as the growing conditions are much more favourable.

6.12 The absence of root growth within the site has been confirmed following trial excavation works that were carried out in December 2023 under the instruction of the arboricultural consultant. A trial trench was excavated to a depth of at least 1m along the proposed basement line adjacent to the neighbouring trees. No roots were uncovered within the trench.

6.13 **Daylight and sunlight levels** - Shading by trees is not considered a significant issue in relation to this proposal. Along the boundary, the mature trees (T19 to T27) are all deciduous and therefore lose their leaves during winter. Considering their orientation to the building, the trees will cast shade in the afternoon when in full leaf.

6.14 **Drainage and services** – The installation of drainage runs will not require excavation works within the RPAs of the neighbouring trees.

6.15 **Tree protection measures** – The existing boundary wall located adjacent to the trees will be retained as part of the development works. This wall will act as sufficient protection and prevent construction operations from impacting the retained trees.

6.16 **Arboricultural mitigation** - A detailed landscape plan has been designed and will form part of the planning application for the development proposal. This design includes new high-quality tree planting that will have a positive impact on the amenities of the site and the character and appearance of the local surrounding landscape.

7 Discussion & Conclusion

General Change

- 7.1 The pruning works proposed to facilitate the development are considered to be minor and will not have an adverse impact on their health or visual appearance within the local area.
- 7.2 Considering there are no trees or vegetation within the Application Site, the development proposal provides a good opportunity to improve local canopy cover by planting new high-quality trees. Such tree planting can positively impact the visual appearance of the development and the local surrounding area.

Proposal in relation to local planning policy

- 7.3 The proposed development complies with local planning policies as they relate to trees. No tree removals are required and all neighbouring trees can be adequately protected as outlined within this report.
- 7.4 The proposed development includes new high-quality tree planting that will increase local canopy cover and have a positive impact on the character and appearance of the local surrounding area.

Conclusion

- 7.5 The proposal has been assessed in accordance with BS 5837:2012 and retained trees can be successfully protected during the course of the development by following the information provided within this report and adhering to industry best practice.
- 7.6 Provided the recommendations and methods of work, as outlined within this report, are adhered to, the proposed development can be successfully carried out without having a negative impact on the character or appearance of the surrounding landscape and local area.

Section 2: Arboricultural Method Statement

Introduction	
<p>This report has been prepared in accordance with British Standard 5837: Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations (2012) which provides a methodology for the assessment and protection of trees and other significant vegetation on development sites.</p>	
Sequence of Operations	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Proposed tree works.• Enabling works, including the installation of a site compound.• Demolition.• Construction, including the installation of drainage and services.• Landscaping.	
<p><i>Alternative sequences can be discussed and agreed upon with the local authority and project manager if required.</i></p>	
Supervision	
<p>All key / critical activities that will affect trees during construction will be inspected and monitored by the approved arboricultural consultant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pre-commencement meeting with the site manager;• Inspection of tree works prior to the commencement of works;• Tree inspection upon completion.	
Arboricultural Method Statement	
Scope	Methodology
Pre-commencement meeting	<p>Prior to the commencement of works, a meeting between the arboricultural consultant and site manager will be held in order to discuss the tree protection measures and proposed works required in close proximity to trees.</p> <p>Contact details of all parties will be circulated to ensure all team members are able to communicate correctly.</p>

	<p>The site manager will be responsible for the protection of all retained trees for the duration of the project. Whenever necessary, the site manager will engage the arboricultural consultant to ensure trees are adequately protected.</p> <p>The appointed arboricultural consultant will be available for verbal advice throughout site works.</p>
Tree Works	<p>Please refer to the Tree Work Schedule at Appendix A for a list of all proposed tree works.</p> <p>It is the responsibility of the Site Manager to ensure all tree works have been approved by the local planning authority.</p> <p>All tree works will be carried out by a reputable arboricultural contractor in accordance with the recommendations given in BS 3998:2010 – Tree Work Recommendations.</p> <p>All tree works should be carried out in accordance with Section 40 of the Wildlife Act 1976 and Section 46 of the Wildlife (Amendment) Act 2000.</p> <p>It is the responsibility of the arboricultural contractor to ensure that no protected species are harmed whilst carrying out site clearance or tree surgery works.</p>
Demolition of Existing buildings adjacent to trees	<p>The existing building along the boundary is to be demolished from the existing hard standing using the '<i>top down, pull back</i>' method of works.</p> <p>The machine must operate in a careful manner whereby all rubble is pulled into the site and away from the retained trees.</p> <p>A banksman is required to guide the machine operator so that it does not come into contact with any overhanging branches.</p>
General Principles to Avoid Damage to Trees	<p>No fires will be permitted within 20m of the crown of any tree.</p> <p>Any liquid materials spilt on site will be immediately cleared up and removed from the site. If liquid fuel or cement products are spilt within 2m of the tree protection zone, the contractor will report the incident to the arboricultural consultant immediately.</p> <p>The contractor will report any damage to trees or shrubs, whether caused by construction activities or from any other cause, to the arboricultural consultant immediately.</p>

Appendix A – Schedule

Document	Reference	Revision
Tree Schedule	200930-PD-30	-
Tree Work Schedule	200930-PD-32	-

200930 - The Firhouse Inn, Firhouse Road, Dublin 24

Tree ID	No. Species	Height (m)	Stem diameter (cm)	No. of Stems	CROWN SPREAD (m)								Crown clearance (m)	L.B. (m)	Life stage	Condition Notes	Survey date	RPA (m ²)	RPR (m)	Life expectancy (yrs)	BS Category
					N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW									
Tree T19	1 Fagus sylvatica (Common Beech)	21.0	105	1	7.0	7.0	6.0	5.0					2.0		Late Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Good. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor.	29/07/2025	498.8	12.6	20-40	B2
Tree T20	1 Fagus sylvatica (Common Beech)	23.0	120	1	11.0	6.0	2.0	8.0					2.0		Late Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Fair. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Leaning trunk - Minor. Pruning wounds - Decayed.	29/07/2025	651.4	14.4	20-40	B2
Tree T21	1 Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	23.0	80	1	5.0	6.5	7.0	8.0					2.0		Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Good. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Tree located 9m from boundary wall.	29/07/2025	289.5	9.6	40+	B2
Tree T22	1 Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	23.0	82	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	9.0					6.0		Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Good. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Tree located 9m from boundary wall.	29/07/2025	304.2	9.8	20-40	B2
Tree T23	1 Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	23.0	67	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	11.0					1.0		Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Fair. Branch weight - Heavy. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Leaning trunk - Minor. Pruning wounds - Decayed. Tree located 9m from boundary wall.	29/07/2025	203.1	8.0	20-40	B2
Tree T24	1 Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	23.0	95	1	8.0	4.0	2.0	8.0					2.0		Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Fair. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Leaning trunk - Minor. Pruning wounds - Decayed. Unbalanced crown - Minor.	29/07/2025	408.3	11.4	20-40	B2

Stem green Estimated valueStem AVE Average stem diameter for tree groupsStem COM Combined stem diameter in accordance with BS5837

L.B. Height of lowest branch attachment (m) - where relevant

The survey information in this schedule has been gathered following a BS5837 survey for planning purposes. Where hazardous trees have been noted recommendations for works may have been made but this survey cannot be relied upon as a full health and safety assessment of the trees.

Page 1 of 3

200930 - The Firhouse Inn, Firhouse Road, Dublin 24

Tree ID	No. Species	Height (m)	Stem diameter (cm)	No. of Stems	CROWN SPREAD (m)								Crown clearance (m)	L.B. (m)	Life stage	Condition Notes	Survey date	RPA (m ²)	RPR (m)	Life expectancy (yrs)	BS Category
					N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW									
Tree T25	1 Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	22.0	79	1	4.0	3.0	5.0	3.0				6.0			Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Fair. Arboricultural work - Historic. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Ivy or climbing plant. Unbalanced crown - Minor. Tree located 6m from boundary wall. Canopy marginally overhanging building footprint.	29/07/2025	282.3	9.5	20-40	B2
Tree T26	1 Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	18.0	67	1	5.0	6.0	7.0	9.0				2.0			Mature	Structural condition Good. Physiological condition Good. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Girdling roots - Minor.	29/07/2025	203.1	8.0	40+	B2
Tree T27	1 Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	18.0	79	1	7.0	6.5	5.0	9.0				2.0			Mature	Structural condition Fair. Physiological condition Good. Arboricultural work - Historic. Competition - Adjacent trees. Deadwood - Minor. Pruning wounds - Decayed.	29/07/2025	282.3	9.5	40+	B2

Stem green Estimated value

Stem AVE Average stem diameter for tree groups

Stem COM Combined stem diameter in accordance with BS5837

L.B. Height of lowest branch attachment (m) - where relevant

The survey information in this schedule has been gathered following a BS5837 survey for planning purposes. Where hazardous trees have been noted recommendations for works may have been made but this survey cannot be relied upon as a full health and safety assessment of the trees.

Page 2 of 3

Table 1 of BS5837 (2012)

Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)	Identification on plan	
Trees unsuitable for retention (see note)			
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) * Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline * Trees infected with pathogens of significance to health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <p>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7</p>	RED	
Trees to be considered for retention			
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Tree that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue).	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features.	2 Mainly landscape qualities Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture).
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation.	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality.	GREEN Trees with material conservation or other cultural value.
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits.	BLUE Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.
GREY			

200930-PD-32 - Planning Tree Works Schedule

200930 - The Firhouse Inn, Firhouse Road, Dublin 24

CHARLES MCCORKELL
ARBORICULTURAL CONSULTANCY

ID	No. / Species	BS5837 Category	Purpose of works	Status
			Recommended works	
T26	1 <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> Sycamore	B2	To facilitate development Reduce lateral limb / limbs. Reduce low overhanging lateral growth back to site boundary.	Proposed
T27	1 <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> Sycamore	B2	To facilitate development Reduce lateral limb / limbs. Reduce low overhanging lateral growth back to site boundary.	Proposed

Appendix B - Plans

Document	Reference	Revision
Tree Survey & Constraints Plan	200930-P-30	-
Tree Works & Protection Plan	200930-P-31	-

CHARLES MCCORKELL
ARBORICULTURAL CONSULTANCY

Address: 12 Churchfield Grove, Ashbourne, Co. Meath

Email: charles@cmarbor.com

Tel: +353 85 843 7015

Web: www.cmarbor.com